LECTURE VI

INCOMING AND OUTGOING PARTNERS.

Continuity of firms inspite of change of partners.

- Previous law under the I. C. A. 1.
- English Law on the Subject.
- Change in the law by the new Act.
 - (i) Report of the Special Committee and their
 - (ii) Change necessary for giving unity continuity to the business.
- 4. Firm not dissolved by the outgoing of some of the partners.
- Introduction of new partners with the consent of all existing partners.
 - (i) Clause for introduction of partners in the partnership agreement, if enforceable-Lindley's views.
 - (ii) Nature of the right of a new partner introduced by the senior partner on the strength of such clause at the time of his introduction*.
 - (iii) If a nominee of a partner can be compelled to become a partner of the firm5.

Jogmohannarayan v. Girish Babu I L. R. 42 All. 515. The Official Assignee of Madras v. Paluniappa Chetti

I. L. R. 41 Mad. 824. (3) Kakumanec Venkatasuryanarayana v. Akutthota Ramayya 40 M. I. J. 153; The Official Assignee of Madras v. Palaniuppa Chetty 41 Mad. 824, 835, 839. Bryne v. Reid (1902) 2 Ch. 735.

Madgwick v. Wimble (1843) 6 Beav. 495.

- If executors or devisees or heirs of a deceased partner can claim admission into partnership without consent or previous agreement to that effect1.
- Assignee of a partner's interest if can claim admission into partnership against the will of other members of the firm2-
 - (a) legal consequence of such an assignment³.
- Power given to a partner to nominate his successor in partnership deed—the power how to be exercised.

Liability of new partners for the debts of the firm before their introduction—

- (i) he may incur liability by an agreement to that effect:
- (ii) slight evidence is enough to prove such agreement;
- (iii) agreement between partners for substitution of liability if binding on creditors;
- (iv) agreement between partners substitution if enforceable by creditors.

Retirement of a partner.

- (1) A partner may retire
 - (a) at any time with the consent of all other partners;
 - (b) conformably to agreement between an partners;
 - (c) by notice of retirement in case of partnership at will;
 - (d) a dormant partner may retire without notice -reason for the rule. Necessity of notice when creditor knew dormant partner as a member of the firm9.
- (2) Liability of a retiring partner for debts contracted before his retirement1°.

Cromford v. Hamilton 3 Madd. 254; Crawshay v. Maule (1)

¹ Swanst 495, 518, 523. Debi Baksh v. Habib Shah 35 All. P. C. 331, 335-336, P. C.

Cassels v. Stewart (1881) 6 A. C. 64, 73; Smith v. Parkes (1852) Beav. 115. Jagatchandra v. Radhanath I. L. R. 10 Cal. 669.

Jagatchanara V. Kaananam I. L. R. 10 Cal. 607.

Bechnbai v. Shamji 9 I. L. R. Bom. 536.

P. B. Sarma v. Phanindra 35 C. W. N. 593.

Smith v. Patrick (1901) A. C. 282; Russa Engineering Works v. Canada Transport Co. (1926) I. L. R. 41 Mad. 930; British Homes Assurance Corporation v. Paterson (1902) 2 Ch. 404 and Exparte Whitmore 3 Deac. 365.

Heath v. Sanson (1832) 4 B. & Ad. 172. Carter v. Wholley 1 B. & D. 11. Farrar v. Defline 1 Car. & K. 580.

Maurice v. Morley 29 C. W. N. 496.

(3) Mode of discharge of a retiring partner from liability to a third party for acts of the firm done before his retirement.

(a) Necessity of a tripartite agreement.

- (b) Such agreement if may be implied by a course of dealing.
- (c) Creditor when stopped from suing a retiring partner.
- (4)Liability of a retiring partner for future debts of the firm.
 - (a) Exception—in case of persons dealing with the firm without knowing him to be a partner.
 - (b) Cessation of liability by giving public notice.
 - (i) Mode of giving public notice under SS. 63 and 72 I. P. A.
 - (ii) By whom notice may be given.
 - (iii) Prescribed form of such notice.
 - (iv) Notice to individual customers if necessary under the present Act—previous discussed.

D. Expulsion of partners.

- (1) Special provisions for expulsion in articles of partnership necessary.
- (2) Expulsion clause to be strictly construed.
- (3) Necessity of perfect good faith for exercise of contractual power.

(a) Meaning of good faith in such cases².

- (4) Necessity of concurrence of all partners as required by articles.
- (5) If majority can expel without express power.
- (6) Grounds of expulsion—
 - (i) Breach of covenant of partnership sufficient.
 - (ii) Flagrant breach of duties by a partner if ground for expulsion.
 - (iii) Criminal convention if good ground.
- (7) Reason for expulsion if required to be stated.
 - (i) If statement of reasons can be dispensed with by agreement.
- (8) Legal consequences of arbitrary expulsion.
 - (a) Remedies of the expelled partner.
 - (i) Re-instatement
 - (ii) Dissolution
 - (iii) Damage or
 - (iv) Account.

Carmichael v. Evans (1904) 1 Ch. 486.

Green v. Howell (1910) 1 Ch. 495, C. A.

Carmichael v. Evans (1904) 1 Ch. 486.

Blisset v. Daniel (1853) 10 Hare 493; Andrews v. Mitchel (1905) A C. 78; Clark v. Hart (1858) 10 E. R. 1443.

(9) Liability of the expelled partner for past and future debts of the firm.

E. Insolvency of a partner.

- (1) Effect of insolvency of a partner on the continuance of firm.
 - (a) Agreement for continuance how far binding.
 - (b) Cessation of partnership after adjudication.
 - (i) Date of adjudication order, if retrospective1.
- (2) Liability of the estate of insolvent partner for an act of the firm subsequent to adjudication.
- (3) Liability of the firm for acts of insolvent partner.
- (4) Dealings and transactions with bankrupt after order—when and how far protected2.
- (5) Dissolution of a firm on adjudication of a partner-
 - (i) Right of solvent partners to get in joint
 - (ii) Appointment \mathbf{of} solvent \mathbf{a} partner as manager or receiver in case of disputes as to management3.

\mathbf{F} . Effect of death of a partner.

- (1) Firm reconstituted with the surviving partners under the previous agreement.
 - (a) Non-liability of the estate of the deceased partner for any act subsequent to his death.
- (2) Firm dissolved by death in the absence of agreement to the contrary.
 - (i) Authority of the surviving partners for the purpose of winding up.
 - (ii) Rights of the representatives of deceased partners when partnership business is continued after the death of the partner.
 - (iii) Liability for account by surviving partners when money in the share of the deceased partner is used in their business.

Rights of the outgoing partner to carry on competing business.

(1) Advertisement of a competing business by an outgoing partner.

⁽¹⁾ S. 51 of Presi. Towns Insol. Act 1909 & S. 28 Sub-sec. (7) of Prov. Insol. Act 1920 and S. 37 English Bankruptey

Act 1914.

Shears v. Goddard (1896) 1. Q. B. 406.

Fox v. Hanbury (1776) Cowp. 445.

Mussamat Jaiti v. Banwari Lal 28 C. W. N. 785 at p. 790.

Haji Hedayetullah v. Mahomed Kamil 29 C. W. N. 161 P. C.

Mahomed v. Haji 26 C. W. N. 463 and John v. Bertha

47 C. L. J. 295 P. C.

- (2) Restrictions on such advertisements—
 - (a) Not to use the firm name,
 - (b) Not to represent himself as carrying on business of the firm,
 - (c) Not to solicit the customers of the old firm1.
- (3) Rights of an outgoing partner may be extended or restricted by an agreement between partners-
 - (i) Agreement in restraint of trade if valid2.

 - (ii) Reasonableness of restriction necessary³.
 (iii) Restriction containing two severable parts, one reasonable another not-if reasonable part enforceable'.

П. Rights of the outgoing partner to share subsequent profits.

- (1) User of the share of the assets of an outgoing partner by other partners in the business without final settlement of account gives option to the outgoing partner
 - (a) To claim a share of the profits proportionate to his share, or
 - (b) To charge interest 6% p. c. on the share of his assets⁵.
- (2) Partnership for fixed period—expiry of the period -automatic dissolution-final settlement not made - managing partner continuing business-
 - (i) If the outgoing partner has the same option⁶.
- (3) Option given to surviving partners to purchase the share of the deceased partner.
 - (a) Option how to exercise.
 - (b) Compliance with the terms in all material respects, if necessary.
 - (c) Effect of non-compliance.

Distinction between an option to purchase and a contract for the purchase of deceased partner's share'.

- (4) Option given to continuing partners for the purchase of the share of an outgoing partner in partnership agreement.
 - (a) Option only exercised—right of the outgoing partner to any further share of the profits.

Konski v. Peet (1915) | Ch. 530.
 S. 27 I. C. A.
 Me Ellistrim v. Ballymacelligot Mc Ellistrim v. Ballymacelligot Co-operative & Co. Society (1919) A. C. 548.

British Reinforced Concrete Co. Schelff (1921) 2 Ch. 563.

Yates v. Finn 13 Ch. D. 839 and Muhammad Kamil v. Hazi 33 C. L. J. 411.

⁽⁶⁾ Parsons v. Hawward 135 R. R. 249.
(7) Vyse v. Foster (1874) L. R. 7 H. L. 318.

- (b) Compliance in all material respects necessary.
- (c) Non-compliance keeps the liability to account alive.

I. Effect of a change in the constitution of a firm on the continuing guarantee given

(a) to a firm or

- (b) to a third party in respect of transactions of the firm.
- (1) Continuing guarantee when revoked by change in the constitution of the firm1.
- (2) No revocation when the person agreed to become a surety to the fluctuating body².
- (3) Contract of surety binding so long as the firm remains unchanged.