## THE INDIAN LAW INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI KARNATAK UNIVERSITY

SEMIL AR

ON

CRIMILAL LAW AT DHARWAR

(Dicember 17 - 22, 1978)

"Perspectives of the New Bill on Ladian P nel Code and Reflections on the Joint Select Committee Report - Some Comments"

Ву

Pramod Kumar\*

The problem of the extent to which criminal law is to be used for regulating behaviour at a vast scale required for social change in modern societies emphasising social justice is a raging contemporary controversy in the whole of the common law world. Issues like homosexuality in private, fr a permission of abortions, abolition of capital punishment and recent de-emphasising of mens roa and moral responsibility in the definition of crime are some well known issues being discussed and debated both in the national as well as international sectors. The debate can hardly ever be conclusive on way or the other because it involves complex issues of values about which differing views will always b voiced. Some of the many reasons are discussed below:

The classification of law into civil and criminal has all along rested on the imphasis of moral factor and ethical considerations of responsibility of a wrong doer for his wrongful conduct. The demphasis on moral responsibility would tend to abolish the distinction and thus bring about vagueness and amorphousness in the concept of crime itself. It is possible that the emphasis on moral all ment is retained while defining a crime but it should be left out or softened while considering award of punishment to the accused. Modern thinking by criminologists is veering round this view. Denis Lloyd in his "Idea of L w" (1973) has made this suggestion and it has merit because it reconciles humanitarian and social dience propectives while maintaining the necessity of clarity of definition of crime.

The range and coverage of mod recriminal law in 'v ry state has increased so much that n w and n w behaviour, about the culpability of which reasonable debate is possibl, are being recognised by the ruling elites without much thought and discussion b cause it helps to furth r the interests of their class. The well accepted classical crimes no body argues about, what is being argued about and discussed is the advisability of including new and doubtful areas which can be left to buregulated by morality and public opinion. This trend is evidenced by recent controversies by Hart and Devlin over enforcement of morals through criminal law and the issue raised by the Ledies Directory's case of 1962. Contemporary sociologists particularly thos - belonging to conflict-sociology have raised issues about extension of criminal sanction to doubt-ful areas and have said that criminal law is being used sporadically to further the interest of the group in power and prohibit behaviour which is likely to andanger their interest and power. They feel that criticism and behaviour voicing concern on policies of the ruling -lime which this elite dubs as deviant and criminal may even be necessary, and supportable for genuin democracy to flourish and correct the excesses in the process of enforcement even of publicity acc pted policy. In democracies with power of majority rule the only method by which the minority and other non-elite groups can make their demands falt is by pressures and modes of mobilisation of opinion which may often be considered by the elites as criminal. The dividing line therefore between the deviant behaviour which is supportable and necessary for democratic functioning and deviance which is harmful to the social interest as a whol though difficult to draw has always to be drawn. For instance, Mahatma Gandhi's non-coopration was deviant and criminal according to the law of the times but it was a deviance morally supportable and necessary for the fradom struggle. Although this type of behaviour cannot be supported in all cases after independence. Here on could illustrate the distinction between the morally unsupportable deviance of Gharaos on the one side and J.P.'s call for total revolution to preserve freedom and the democratic process on the other. It does not follow that all that was done by the followers of J.P. was aqually supportable. Obviously the issue of what is morally supportable deviance needed for participative democracy and what is unsupportabl and socially harmful diviance cannot be clearly defined for all ties to come. It is a dynamic conc pu and the balance though

difficult to draw has n c ssarily to b drawn in a vigilant d mocracy. The point of importance is that each extension of criminal law to non-tradicional areas must be fully discuss d and d betch b for acceptance and its inforcement trends watched and examined by vigilant public opinion.

3. The difference between promulgation of a criminal law and the nature and quality of its acceptance and enforcement by the people and the administration also raises important issue on the image of the validity of the 1 gal process as a whol . The existince of a number of criminal statutes which are ignored both by the people and the administration may disparage the legal process itself and lessen its efficiency. It is common knowledge that both in India and abroad a low of this type of legislation exists which could be divided from the point of view of its social (ff.cts and its impact on th imag. of th legal process into two classes. In one category is the legislation relating to prohibition, sexual immorality etc. which is damaging to the imag = of the law because of lack of social recognition and enforcement gaps due to the reason that these are as are considered as generally the prisons of private and social mor lity into which law need not ent; and if it enters it caus s more dam go than good b cause enforcement of positive morality cannot b the function of the law. In the other category are the legislations like the Civil Rights Acts in India and th Unit d Stat's and the law relating to prohibition of child marriag s and bigamy. This type of l gislation has a social-sducation valu and the purpose is not immediate enforcement. This is a kind of presentation by the ruling elite of the norms and values of the new egaliterian society which will get adapt d in society gradually through growing awareness and through spread of education. Thus an important distinction exists between what social scientists all pro-active and Mactive legislation. The s cond type is a proactive lagislation which in developing societies like ours is necessary as clarifying proformed values of future Indian society.

4. Most of the recent crimes both in the developed and developing countries are not related to identifiable harm or injury to any individual or society but are based on the approperation of possible or supposed narm to the profession receives of society or the psychology of the individuals or groups. These are the crimes of status, victimals crimes and crimes of strict liability which render inforcement ambiguous and lead to opportunities

of abus of pow r corruption and porsonal vandatta. Their creation also rests upon intuitive and sociologically unt sted assumptions of the prisons in power. This trind distracts attintion of the administration from th ir principal rols of protecting person and property from present on future Som contemporary criminologists hold that unless criminal law is confined to its traditional role of protecting person and property criminal justice administration will continue to remain amorphous, unreal and confused. They full that sociaty and its rulars must find other mathods including growing use of media, educational and social reform processes to create awar-ness of harm in the individuals and groups to abstain from injurious behaviour in many areas of non-traditional crimes. The offence of drunk nness and drugs and to som measure sexual offences should be taken car, of though those new methods. This will have an advantage of proventing recidivism which generally arises when a person committing acts in these eres is lab lled and treated as criminal thus becoming a social outcaste and tends to fall into the of confirmed criminals. Many of this, socialled criminals are young persons and n. d to be handled with care and not to b. labilled as criminals if they are to b brought back to the society as normal human baings. Arising our of this is the important question of dealing with organised crimes of smuggling, drug monopoly and running international prostitution and trafficking in wom n. It should noted that this present a problem of money power with a lot of political pressurisation and as experience of last the e or four deades has shown, cannot b handled by ordinary criminal law. efficient handling of this requires political hon sty, straightforwardn ss and persisting will on the part of the ruling clives since this class ofp rsons in a pow r is usually -ith r hand in glov with the persons engaged in such orimes or finds its If incompetent to take measures. Criminal law which is d p nd nt on the state power can not both instrument to control this growing mananc. Thus it follows that the class of new crimes suggested above should be decriminalis d as they lead to recidivism in the young and are incapable being handled by the state.

5. In is very sential to know the real impact on individuals and groups in society of criminal

justice administration particularly with the trem ndous increas of its width and coverego precically to include the whole of social life. It will h lp not only the process of creation of new crimes but also assist offictive administration at various levels. The attitude of inforcing and prosecuting authorities, that of the legal profession and the magistracy toward different categories of crimes has also to be realistically determined, for instance, a number of studies including that of Marc Gallanter, on the enforcem nt of punitive sanction on anti-untouchability crimes have shown that even when the complainant or a particular group in society is keen on inforcem nt of these crimes the indifference of the police and the magistracy greatly hinders administration of law in this area. Thus what are called for are objectives empirical studies with the assistance social scientists of various lovels of criminal administration of cortain types of non-traditional crimes. A realistic picture is then likely to emerge assisting wither appropriate inforcement or abandonment of curtain types of crimes. It may be that the studies may disclose the need for appropriate sociologically conscious training of the presonnel as well as the need to rationalise s ntencing patterns.

It is clear that the task of cruating new crimes and reforming the existing criminal law and administration cannot be done without offictive empirical research, competence and capacity and knowledge of social science standards and realistic assessment of the peoples beliefs and attitudes. Even with this knowledge, as threfforts to reform American criminal law show, the decisions are not free from doubt and debate (Law and contemporary, problems criminal Law-1977) viewed in this light of the above discussion. I present the following brief comments on the Indian Penal Cod Bill.

I consider the suggestion of the IPC (Am nd-ment) Bill to include effigy burning and punishment to father or son for neglecting to support sons or parents as crimes. As regards effigy burning I am reminded of the judgement of Sir Maurice Gwiyer as Chi f Justice of the Frderal Court in the famous case of Nibarendu Dutt Majumdar, where he observed that the criminal law of a country is not for the purpose of catering to the "wounded vanity" of

important politicians or officers of state or a . device to wreak revenge upon those who persist in criticising their policies and functioning. consider affigy burning as an expression of impotent rage which should go unnoticed and should be treated with indiffurence, it deservers unless of course it leads to viol new or the possibility of violence for which cast provisions already exist in the IPC. I should think that the emotions of the crowd should b allowed so be purged by the innocent act of offigy burning than creating situations for arson looting and running roit. In a democracy as problematic and h trogenous as I.dia mobilisations to support som times genuin claims and demands will continue to occur. Persistance for those in power as an initial stop will also be recurrent feature. If the mob or a group of dissintions tak recourse to offigy burning, without further complications, not much harm to secrety will be done. The excessive concern for a type of bihaviour which may be a recurring flature in a societe where distribution of wealth and resources is highly unequal and the exposur of newly won fr. dom through adult franchiss, may lead to many occasions for frustrated groups to take recours to mock functals or affigy burning, whose violence and aggravated forms can be oth rwise dealt with, is unwise and unstatesmanlike. The proposed S. 507B should b. dropped.

Anoth r pur ly impractical suggestion immin atly likely to disturb family life is the provision to punish with 3 years imprisonment any parson who fails without lawful excus to provid a cessarics of life to persons dependent on him (S. 318A). avowed purpose of this section appears to b. a concern for with r the children or old perents who are likely to be negligibled and to atid with indifference semitimus even with negligince in a highly inflationary coonomy. The cultural tradition in India among all castes and groups has been and continues to be that the remedy for such neglect and indiff.r.ncc is social disapprobation as also appropriat, upbringing and socialisation. of remodying the evil the ffect of this section will b to bring into the family fold the police and the magistracy which does not have a record of humanitarian approach s. Chances are that the police and the inforcem at machinery will use this

powe for corruption and bribary by showing lip sympathy with errait children and doing doting parints. Much of the evil which this section is intended to remedy can be partially must by old ago pension schemes as well as by more efficient public schooling system where children are fed and taken care of sepport d by a special education cass. The Australian public school system is an illustration in this regard. Mere provision in the IPC is an escape from responsibility superficially satisfying the sense of guilt that the ruling elite may feel, about an evil without caring to go into practical problems and realities in arriving at solution by discussion, debate and research. Legislation of this type is neither supportable as an ideology - creating statute like the Sharda Act and the recent Hindu Law Legislation, nor, as an effective practical measure handling the evil in all its manifestations.

There are many commendable features in the bill. The abolition of attempted suicide as a crime is welcome although the bill should have adopted the Law Commission suggestion to punish instead a person who drives or complishing any member of the family to commit suicide. Narrowing the area of capital punishment, the abolition of solitary confinement, special provisions for assault on children, hijacking of vehicles, punishing blackmail, punishing person for initiating children in criminal activities and unlawful earnings, punishing men and women equally for adultry, punishing cares dropping and unauthorised publication of photographs, coercion by threatening to go on fast, using or issuing false medical certificate, criminal regligence on the part of professionals under certain conditions and insult to the constitution, national flag or emblem or the national anthem etc. are illustrations of a step forward.

In the area of meeting economic off nees though the bill makes a serious effort the recommendated punishment of public censor is not likely to succeed. It is common knowledge that in a society like India monoyed men, whatever, their crimes or failings, suffer no social disparagement or boycott. In fact they are not only tolerated but flattered in the hope of advantage or benefits. The popular image of some of the leading dacoits and robbers who occassionally helped poor people is no ither derogatory nor condemnatory. They are often hold in esteem as saviours of the common man.

Recent stories of the top smuggll rs supporting the families of their amploy and spending time and money in the marriages of their daughters secured for them an imag. Which could meet any amount of public cansor by the state and yet mak, them hold a high head in society. As suggisted carlier conomic crimes or white collar crimes cannot be handled offectively by normal criminal justice administration unless there is a drive of honesty and commitment from the political leaders incouraging and rewarding honest officers who show initiative and drive in this regard. There are ample illustrations from the centre and the state of the sufferings and privatious of honest officer who made some efforts to hendle sconomic crimes effectively. Perhaps in a society of iconomic starvation, mass illiteracy and caste considerations this type of crime needs to be handled by drives of social reform by national leaders assisted by non-political vigilant local committers.

What the criminal justic administration needs in this coundry is not so much tink ring with the body of criminal law and proc dure as a new and continued emphasis on a n.w typ. of I gel and criminal justice thoory which imphasis s the social consiquences of the "act" and trains the present of the law in chosing an alternative for the most of ctive solution to the problem befor them. What is being advocated here is a more rigid policy of limited admissions to law schools, continuing in s rvic training of the polic and the judiciary through an increased awareness of social sciences. Logal process as a whole should be viewed not as rigidly compartmentalised into "Civil and Criminal" but as a unified spectrum of remedies in which our remod m rg s into another with freedom to the court to pick up such combinations of remedies as well provide effective solution to the case before them. Such a use of the legal process requires special manipulative skills drawing upon the law and the social sciences which the present system of legal education cannot provide. Effective reform of criminal justice administration ther for is a complex societal problem requiring intenss research and deep thought, willingness to change existing models and cannot be handled by more legislations. Inspit- of a long term debete, discussions and research th: U.S.A. has still not been abla to finalis the criminal process of the s-v littes.