

# CONTENTS

## CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTORY

SECTION 1. NEED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	1
Freeman and Paullin, <i>Road to Peace : A Study in Functional Organization</i> 1-4 (1947) ... ..	3
1 Law Commission of India, <i>Fourteenth Report</i> 30 (1958) ...	5
2 Law Commission of India, <i>Fourteenth Report</i> 674-75 (1958) ...	6
1 Benjamin, <i>Administrative Adjudication in the State of New York</i> 13 (1942)	6
Gellhorn and Byse, <i>Administrative Law</i> 2-7 (1960) ...	7
SECTION 2. ORIGIN, HISTORY AND CURRENT DEVELOPMENT	13
England ... ..	13
United States ... ..	16
1 Davis, <i>Administrative Law Treatise</i> 24-33 (1958) ...	16
India ... ..	22
The Indian Law Institute, <i>Administrative Process under the Essential Commodities Act</i> 11-22 (1964) ... ..	31
SECTION 3. CLAIMS FOR THE CRITICISM OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS	41
Markose, <i>Judicial Control of Administrative Action in India</i> 526 (1956)	41
Hayek, <i>The Road to Serfdom</i> , 72-83 (1944) ... ..	41
Ramaswami, "Rule of Law and a Planned Society," 1 31-32 (1958-59) ... ..	43
<i>The Report of the Committee on Ministers' Powers</i> 7 (1932) ...	44
Frankfurter, "Foreword to the Final Report of the Attorney-General's Committee on Administrative Procedure," 41 <i>Colum. L. Rev.</i> 586-87 (1941) ... ..	45
Notes ... ..	45
SECTION 4. DEFINITION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	47
Griffith and Street, <i>Principles of Administrative Law</i> 3-5 (1963) ...	47
Wade, <i>Administrative Law</i> 1-3 (1961) ... ..	48
K. C. Davis, <i>Administrative Law Text</i> 1-2 (1959) ...	50
Notes ... ..	52

## CHAPTER II. BASIC CONSTITUTIONAL CONCEPTS

SECTION 1. RULE OF LAW	55
Denning, <i>Freedom under the Law</i> 77-78 (1949) ...	57
H. W. R. Wade, <i>Administrative Law</i> 6-7 (1961) ...	5
Friedmann and Benjafield, <i>Principles of Australian Administrative Law</i> 16-23 (1962) ...	5
Davis, <i>Administrative Law</i> 24-27 (1959) ...	6
Wade and Phillips, <i>Constitutional Law</i> 70-73 (1960) ...	6
SECTION 2. THE DOCTRINE OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS	63
Wade and Phillips, <i>Constitutional Law</i> 22-34 (1960) ...	71
Davis, <i>Administrative Law Treatise</i> 64-74 (1958) ...	78
Schwartz, <i>French Administrative Law and the Common Law World</i> 4-8 (1954) ...	81
<i>Ram Jawaya v. State of Punjab</i> , A.I.R.1955 S.C. 549 ...	83
SECTION 3. CONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW IN INDIA	88

## CHAPTER III. CLASSIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTORY	91
SECTION 2. LEGISLATIVE OR JUDICIAL FUNCTION	
Schwartz, <i>An Introduction to American Administrative Law</i> 106-07 (1959) ...	
<i>Express Newspaper Ltd. v. Union of India</i> , A.I.R. 1958 S.C. 578 ...	4
SECTION 3. LEGISLATIVE OR EXECUTIVE FUNCTION	9
Griffith and Street, <i>Principles of Administrative Law</i> 51 (1963) ...	9
Friedmann and Benjafield, <i>Principles of Australian Administrative Law</i> 41 (1962) ...	9
Wade, <i>Administrative Law</i> 249-50, 264 (1961) ...	97
SECTION 4. ADMINISTRATIVE OR QUASI-JUDICIAL FUNCTION	9
<i>The Report of the Committee on Ministers' Powers</i> 73-75, 81-82 (1932) ...	98
<i>Province of Bombay v. Khushaldas Advani</i> , A.I.R. 1950 S.C. 222 ...	101
<i>Nagendra Nath Bora v. Commr., Hills Division</i> , A.I.R. 1958 S.C. 398 ...	109
<i>Shivji Nathubhai v. Union of India</i> , A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 606 ...	114

<i>Shankarlal v. Shankarlal</i> , A.I.R. 1965 S.C. 507 ...	117
<i>Gullapalli Nageshwara Rao v. A. P. S. R. T. Corpn.</i> , A.I.R. 1959 S.C. 308 ...	120
Nathanson, "The Right to Fair Hearing in Indian, English and American Administrative Law," 1 <i>J.I.L.I.</i> 500-01, 515 (1958-59) ...	132
<i>Board of Revenue v. Vidyavati</i> , A.I.R. 1952 S.C. 1217 ...	134
<i>Board of High School v. Ghanshyam</i> , A.I.R. 1962 S.C. 1111 ...	136
<i>Radeshyam v. State of Madhya Pradesh</i> , A.I.R. 1959 S.C. 107 ...	141
<i>Bharat Bank v. Employees of Bharat Bank</i> , A.I.R. 1950 S.C. 188 ...	148
<i>Ridge v. Baldwin</i> , (1963) 2 W.L.R. 935 ...	152
Benjafield and Whitmore, "The House of Lords and Natural Justice," 37 <i>Aust. L. J.</i> 140 (1963) ...	163
Problems ...	165

## CHAPTER IV. DELEGATED LEGISLATION

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTORY	172
SECTION 2. GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT	173
<i>The Report of the Committee on Ministers' Powers</i> 4-6, 21-4, 51-3, 53-4 (1932)	173
SECTION 3. THE NATURE OF DELEGATED LEGISLATION	179
Wade, <i>Administrative Law</i> 249-57 (1961) ...	179
S.R. Das, "Inauguration Address to the Administrative Law Seminar," 1 <i>J. I. L. I.</i> 14-16 (1958) ...	185
SECTION 4. POWER OF LEGISLATURE TO DELEGATE	187
Notes ...	187
Schwartz, <i>An Introduction to American Administrative Law</i> 34, 40-2 (1956)	190
1 Davis, <i>Administrative Law Treatise</i> 76, 81-6, 88-101 (1958) ...	192
Notes ...	196
A. DOCTRINE OF CONDITIONAL LEGISLATION	198
<i>The Empress v. Burah</i> , LL.R. 4 Cal. 172 (1879)	198
Notes ...	201
B. DELEGATION OF LEGISLATIVE POWER	207
(a) <i>General</i>	207
<i>In re Article 143, Constitution of India</i> , A.I.R. 1951 S.C. 332 ...	207
Notes ...	220
<i>Hari Shanker Bagla v. The State of Madhya Pradesh</i> , A.I.R. 1954 S.C. 465 ...	221

<i>Bhatnagars &amp; Co. Ltd. v. The Union of India</i> , A.I.R. 1957 S.C. 478 ...	27
<i>D. S. Garewal v. State of Punjab</i> , A.I.R. 1959 S.C. 512 ...	27
<i>Vasanlal Maganbhai v. State of Bombay</i> , A.I.R. 1961 S.C. 4 ...	27
Notes ... ..	27
<i>Corporation of Calcutta v. Liberty Cinema</i> , A.I.R. 1965 S.C. 1107 ...	27
Problems and Notes ... ..	28
(b) <i>Power to add, amend or modify an Act of legislature or exempt therefrom</i> ...	
<i>Edward Mills Co. v. State of Ajmer</i> , A.I.R. 1955 S.C. 25 ...	27
<i>Mohd. Hussain v. State of Bombay</i> , A.I.R. 1962 S.C. 97 ...	27
<i>Hamdard Dawakhana v. Union of India</i> , A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 554 ...	27
Notes ... ..	27
(c) <i>Power to grant exemption</i> ...	
<i>Mohmedalli v. Union of India</i> , A.I.R. 1964 S.C. 980 ...	27
(d) <i>Power to amend the statute</i> ...	
<i>Banarsi Das v. State of M.P.</i> , A.I.R. 1958 S.C. 909 ...	27
<i>Rajnarain Singh v. Chairman, P.A. Committee</i> , A.I.R. 1954 S.C. 569 ...	27
Notes ... ..	27
(e) <i>Removal of difficulties</i> ...	

## SECTION 5. ADMINISTRATIVE QUASI-LEGISLATION

Wade and Phillips, <i>Constitutional Law</i> 585 (1960) ...	
<i>State of Assam v. Ajit Kumar Sharma</i> , A.I.R. 1965 S.C. 1196 ...	274

## CHAPTER V. CONTROL OF DELEGATED LEGISLATION : JUDICIAL CONTROL

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTORY	282
SECTION 2. JUDICIAL CONTROL : DOCTRINE OF ULTRA VIRES	283
SECTION 3. SUBSTANTIVE ULTRA VIRES	286
<i>Commissioners of Customs and Excise v. Cure &amp; Deele, Ltd.</i> , (1962) 1 Q.B. 340 ... ..	286
Notes ... ..	289
<i>Keshav Talpade v. Emperor</i> , A.I.R. 1943 F.C. 1 ...	291
<i>Mohammed Yasin v. Town Area Committee, Jalalabad</i> , A. I. R. 1952 S. C. 115 ... ..	

<i>Agarwal, Ayengar &amp; Co. v. The State</i> , A.I.R. 1951 Bom. 397	...	296
<i>T. B. Ibrahim v. Regional Transport Authority</i> , A.I.R. 1953 S. C. 79		298
<i>Chief Commr. Ajmer v. Radhey Snyam</i> , A.I.R. 1957 S. C. 304	...	300
<i>Ganpati Singhji v. State of Ajmer</i> , A.I.R. 1955 S. C. 188	...	303
<i>Madhubhai v. Union of India</i> , A.I.R. 1961 S. C. 21	...	305
Notes	...	307
<b>A. RETROSPECTIVE OPERATION</b>		<b>313</b>
<i>M. L. Bagga v. Murhar Rao</i> , A.I.R. 1956 Hyd. 35	...	313
<i>Shivdev Singh v. State of Punjab</i> , A.I.R. 1959 Punj. 453	...	315
<b>B. UNREASONABLENESS</b>		<b>316</b>
<i>Kruse v. Johnson</i> , (1898) 2 Q.B. 91	...	316
Notes	...	319
Schwartz, <i>An Introduction to American Administrative Law</i> 73-75 (1958)		320
<i>Mulchand v. Mukund</i> , A.I.R. 1952 Bom. 296	...	322
Notes	...	325
<i>Institute of Patent Agents v. Lockwood</i> , (1894) A. C. 347	...	326
<b>SECTION 4. PROCEDURAL ULTRA VIRES</b>		<b>329</b>
<i>Rollo v. Minister of Town and Country Planning</i> , (1948) 1 All E.R. 13		329
<i>Banwari Lal Agarwalla v. State of Bihar</i> , A.I.R. 1965 S.C. 849	...	332
Note	...	336
<i>Raza Buland Sugar Co. v. Rampur Municipality</i> , A.I.R. 1965 S.C. 895		337
<b>SECTION 5. DELEGATED LEGISLATION AND FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS</b>		<b>351</b>
<i>Narendra Kumar v. Union of India</i> , A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 430	...	351
<i>Harman Singh v. Regional Transport Authority Calcutta Region</i> , A.I.R. 1954 S.C. 190	...	353
<b>SECTION 6. EXCLUSION OF JUDICIAL REVIEW</b>		<b>355</b>
<i>Minister of Health v. The King [On the Prosecution of Yaffe]</i> , (1931) A.C. 494	...	356
Notes	...	358
<i>Rayulu Subba Rao v. Commissioner of Income Tax</i> , A.I.R. 1956 S.C. 604	...	360
<i>Orient Weaving Mills v. Union of India</i> , A.I.R. 1963 S.C. 98		362
<i>S. Rama Rao v. R. A. Mundkur</i> , A.I.R. 1960 Mys. 313		364

## CHAPTER VI. OTHER CONTROLS ON DELEGATED LEGISLATION

### SECTION 1. PUBLICATION OF DELEGATED LEGISLATION

The Rules Publication Act, 1893	...	...
<i>Jones v. Robson</i> , (1901) K.B. 673	...	...
<i>Johnson v. Sargant &amp; Sons</i> , (1918) 1 K.B. 101	...	...
The Statutory Instruments Act, 1946	...	...
<i>Regina v. Sheer Metalcraft Ltd.</i> , (1954) 1 Q.B. 586	...	...
Note	...	...
Schwartz, <i>An Introduction to American Administrative Law</i> 71-72 (1962)	...	...
Note	...	...
The Administrative Procedure Act, 1946	...	...
Note	...	...
<i>Shakoore Hasan Kachhi Memon v. Emperor</i> , A.I.R. 1944 Nag. 40	...	...
Note	...	...
<i>Babulal Rajoolal v. Emperor</i> , A.I.R. 1945 Nag. 218	...	...
<i>Harla v. The State of Rajasthan</i> , A.I.R. 1951 S.C. 467	...	...
Notes	...	...
<i>State of Maharashtra v. M. H. George</i> , A.I.R. 1965 S.C. 722	...	...
Jain, "Parliamentary Control of Delegated Legislation," <i>Public Law</i> 165-69 (1964)	...	...

### SECTION 2. CONSULTATION WITH AFFECTED INTERESTS

<i>Fletcher and Others v. Minister of Town and Country Planning</i> , (1947)	...	...
2 All E.R. 496	...	...
Griffith, "Delegated Legislation—Some Recent Developments," 12 <i>Mod. L. R.</i> 297 (1949)	...	...
Schwartz, <i>An Introduction to American Administrative Law</i> 58-68 (1962)	...	...
Fuchs, "Procedure in Administrative Rule-Making", 52 <i>Harv. L.R.</i> 259	...	...

#### CONSULTATION IN INDIA

<i>Previous Publication</i>	...	...
The Indian Law Institute, <i>Delegated Legislation in India</i> 38-39 (1964)	...	...
<i>Other Consultative Techniques</i>	...	...
The Indian Law Institute, <i>Delegated Legislation in India</i> 42-49 (1964)	...	...

### SECTION 3. PARLIAMENTARY CONTROL

#### LAYING BEFORE PARLIAMENT

The Statutory Instruments Act, 1946	...	...
-------------------------------------	-----	-----

Griffith and Street, <i>Principles of Administrative Law</i> 84-91 (1957) ...	465
Note ... ..	471
Jain, "Parliamentary Control of Delegated Legislation in India," <i>Public Law</i> 42-51 (1964) ... ..	471
The Indian Law Institute, <i>Delegated Legislation in India</i> 156-59 (1964)	478
Notes ... ..	481
The Indian Law Institute, <i>Administrative Process under the Essential Commodities Act</i> 85-86 (1964) ... ..	483
<b>PARLIAMENTARY SCRUTINY COMMITTEE</b> ...	484
Griffith, "Delegated Legislation—Some Recent Developments," <i>12 Mod. L. R.</i> , 297 (1949) ... ..	484
Schwartz, <i>An Introduction to American Administrative Law</i> 68-70 (1962)	493
Jain, "Parliamentary Control of Delegated Legislation," <i>Public Law</i> 35-40 (1964) ... ..	495
Address Delivered by the Deputy Speaker (Sardar Hukum Singh) to the Members of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation on the 16th July, 1957 ... ..	499
<b>WORKING OF THE INDIAN COMMITTEE</b> ...	501
Jain, "Parliamentary Control of Delegated Legislation," <i>Public Law</i> 51-9, 152-63 (1964) ... ..	501
<i>Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Council of State (Rajya Sabha)</i> 51-54 (1964) ... ..	515
Note ... ..	518

## CHAPTER VII. SUB-DELEGATION

<b>SECTION 1. INTRODUCTORY</b> ...	519
The Indian Law Institute, <i>Delegated Legislation in India</i> 31-32 (1964)	520
The Indian Law Institute, <i>Administrative Process under the Essential Commodities Act</i> 129-30 (1964) ... ..	521
<b>SECTION 2. CONTROL OF SUB-DELEGATION</b> ...	522
<b>SUBSTANTIVE ULTRA VIRES</b> ...	522
<i>Allingham and another v. Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries</i> , (1948) All E. R. 780 ... ..	523
<i>Jackson Stansfield and Sons v. Butterworth</i> , (1948) 2 All E. R. 558 ...	525
<i>Union of India v. Bhanamal Gulzarimal Ltd.</i> , A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 475 ...	540
<i>Thakorelal v. State of Bombay</i> , A.I.R. 1959 Bom. 511 ...	544
<i>Pritam Bus Ltd. v. State of Punjab</i> , A.I.R. 1957 Punj. 145 ...	550
<i>Sitaram v. Corporation of Calcutta</i> , A.I.R. 1956 Cal. 18 ...	553
<i>The State v. Amir Chand</i> , A.I.R. 1953 Punj. 1 ...	555
<i>Radhakishan v. The State</i> , A.I.R. 1952 Nag. 387 ...	559

PROCEDURAL CONTROL	561
<i>Publication of sub-delegated legislation</i> ... ..	561
<b>CHAPTER VIII. ADMINISTRATIVE DISCRETION</b>	
SECTION 1. INTRODUCTORY	563
DISCRETIONARY POWERS ... ..	565
SECTION 2. DISCRETION OR DUTY	568
<i>State of U. P. v. Manbodhan Lal</i> , A.I.R. 1957 S.C. 912 ...	568
<i>Collector of Monghyr v. Keshav Prasad</i> , A.I.R. 1962 S.C. 1894 ...	572
Notes ... ..	574
SECTION 3. FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE DISCRETION	577
(a) <i>Article 19(1) (g) of the Constitution—Licensing</i>	578
<i>Messrs Dwarka Prasad v. State of U. P.</i> , A.I.R. 1954 S.C. 224 ...	578
Notes ... ..	579
<i>Harishanker Bagla v. M. P. State</i> , A.I.R. 1954 S.C. 465 ...	580
<i>Kishan Chand v. Commissioner of Police</i> , A.I.R. 1961 S.C. 705 ...	582
Notes ... ..	589
(b) <i>Article 19(1) (a), (b), (c) and (e)—Freedom of speech,         assembly, association and residence</i>	590
<i>Virendra v. The State of Punjab</i> , A.I.R. 1957 S.C. 896 ...	590
Notes ... ..	595
(c) <i>Article 19(1) (d) — Right to movement</i>	597
<i>Hari v. Deputy Commissioner of Police</i> , A.I.R. 1956 S.C. 559 ...	597
<i>State of Madhya Pradesh v. Baldeo Prasad</i> , A.I.R. 1961 S.C. 293 ...	600
Notes ... ..	605
(d) <i>Article 19(1) (f)—Freedom to acquire, hold and dispose         of Property</i>	606
<i>Raghubir Singh v. Court of Wards, Ajmer</i> , A.I.R. 1958 S.C. 373 ...	606
<i>Shri Jagannath v. State of Orissa</i> , A.I.R. 1954 S.C. 400 ...	608
(e) <i>Article 14—Equality before law</i>	610
<i>State of West Bengal v. Anwar Ali</i> , A.I.R. 1952 S.C. 75 ...	610
<i>Kathi Raning v. State of Saurashtra</i> , A.I.R. 1952 S.C. 123 ...	613
Notes ... ..	615

SECTION 4. DISCRETION CONFERRED ON ONE AUTHORITY BUT EXERCISED BY ANOTHER		616
Markose, <i>Judicial Control of Administrative Action in India</i> 395-96 (1956)		616
(a) <i>Sub-delegation</i>		617
<i>Godavari v. State of Maharashtra</i> , A.I.R. 1964 S.C. 1128		620
<i>Ghaio Mal &amp; Sons v. State of Delhi</i> , A.I.R. 1959 S.C. 65		623
<i>Pradyat Kumar v. C. J. of Calcutta</i> , A.I.R. 1956 S.C. 285		626
(b) <i>Power conferred on a subordinate authority but exercised by or at the dictation of a superior authority</i>		628
<i>Commissioner of Police v. Gordhandas</i> , A.I.R. 1952 S.C. 16		628
Notes		630
(c) <i>Imposing fetters on discretion by self-imposed rules of policy or practice</i>		632
Maxwell, <i>Interpretation of Statutes</i> 120-21 (1962)		632
Wade, <i>Administrative Law</i> 54 (1961)		632
<i>Gell v. Taja Noora</i> , 27 I.L.R. (1903) Bom. 307		633
Notes		634
SECTION 5. ABUSE OF DISCRETION		637
Markose, <i>Judicial Control of Administrative Action in India</i> 417-23 (1956)		638
Wade, <i>Administrative Law</i> 56-59 (1961)		643
Friedmann and Benjafield, <i>Principles of Australian Administrative Law</i> 169-79 (1962)		646
<i>Associated Provincial Picture Houses Limited v. Wednesbury Corporation</i> , (1948) 1 K.B. 223		653
<i>Roberts v. Hopwood</i> , (1925) A.C. 578		657
<i>Municipal Council of Sydney v. Campbell</i> , (1925) A.C. 338		667
(a) <i>Improper purpose</i>		669
<i>State of Bombay v. K. P. Krishnan</i> , A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 1223		669
Notes		672
(b) <i>Extraneous considerations</i>		673
<i>R. L. Arora v. State of Uttar Pradesh</i> , A.I.R. 1962 S.C. 764		673
(c) <i>Refusal to consider relevant considerations</i>		678
<i>K. M. Shanmugam v. S. K. V. S. (P) Ltd.</i> , A.I.R. 1963 S.C. 1626		678
Notes		683
(d) <i>Order based on both relevant and irrelevant considerations</i>		685
<i>Shibbanlal v. State of U. P.</i> , A.I.R. 1954 S.C. 179		685

Notes	...	...	688
"D. I. R. Detenue Freed By Supreme Court," <i>The Statesman</i> , New Delhi, December 18, 1965	...	...	688
(e) <i>Mala fides</i>			690
<i>Pratap Singh v. State of Punjab</i> , A.I.R. 1964 S.C. 72	...	...	690
Notes	...	...	697
<b>SECTION 6. OTHER GROUNDS FOR INTERFERING WITH THE EXERCISE OF DISCRETIONARY POWERS</b>			699
(a) <i>Constitution</i>			701
(b) <i>Express statutory requirement to act reasonably</i>			701
<i>Pukhraj v. Kohli</i> , A.I.R. 1962 S.C. 1559	...	...	701
(c) <i>Silence of the statute as to such a requirement</i>			702
<i>K. D. Co. v. K. N. Singh</i> , A.I.R. 1956 S.C. 446	...	...	702
Note	...	...	704
<b>SECTION 7. CONTROL OF DISCRETIONARY POWERS</b>			705
<i>Nakkuda Ali v. M. F. De S. Jayaratne</i> , (1951) A. C. 66	...	...	706
<b>CHAPTER IX. NON-ADJUDICATIVE FUNCTIONS OF THE ADMINISTRATION</b>			
<b>SECTION 1. INVESTIGATIONS</b>			709
<b>STATUTORY PROVISIONS ON INVESTIGATION AND INQUIRY</b>			722
(a) <i>Provisions regarding investigation held on actual or probable violation of law</i>			722
The Indian Railways Act, 1890	...	...	722
(b) <i>Investigation held with a desire to see whether a violation can be found</i>			723
The Factories Act, 1948	...	...	723
(c) <i>Investigations difficult to be classified on functional basis</i>			723
The Cooperative Societies Act, 1912	...	...	724
The Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1947	...	...	724
The Indian Companies Act, 1956	...	...	725
The Dentists Act, 1948	...	...	729
The Merchant Shipping Act, 1958	...	...	730
(d) <i>Investigation for information and mind of the Government</i>			730
The Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952	...	...	730

(e) <i>Investigating agencies empowered with powers necessary to implement fully the functions of investigation</i>	731
The Weekly Holidays Act, 1942 ... ..	732
(f) <i>Investigating agencies with further power of search and seizures</i>	732
The Customs Act, 1962 ... ..	732
(g) <i>Investigating agencies prohibited to do certain things (e. g. no self-incrimination)</i>	738
<i>Collector of Customs v. Cal. Motor &amp; Cycle Co., A.I.R. 1958 Cal. 682</i> ... ..	738
<i>Shankeral v. Collector, Central Excise, Madras, A.I.R. 1960 Mad. 225</i> ... ..	743
<i>Basanta Kumar v. Collector, Land Customs, A.I.R. 1961 Cal. 86</i> ...	746
<i>Narayanlal v. M. P. Mistry, A.I.R. 1961 S.C. 29</i> ...	748
<i>Joseph Augusthi v. Narayanan, (1964) S.C.J. 675</i> ...	758
<i>Manager, R. R. B. Factory v. First Civil J. 1st Class, A.I.R. 1959 Bom. 181</i> ... ..	761
<i>Vaidyanathan v. S. D. Magistrate, A.I.R. 1957 Mad. 65</i> ...	763
<i>State of Rajasthan v. Rehman, A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 210</i> ...	766
<i>Mayor E. G. Barsay v. State of Bombay, A.I.R. 1961 S.C. 1762</i> ...	770
<b>SECTION 2. INQUIRY: COMMISSIONS OF INQUIRIES</b>	773
<i>Law Commission of India, Twenty-fourth Report 1-3, 6-13 (1962)</i> ... ..	773
<i>Ram Krishna Dalmia v. Justice Tendolkar, A.I.R. 1958 S.C. 538</i> ...	782
<i>Narayandoss v. T. Neeladri Rao, A.I.R. 1959 A.P. 148</i> ...	790
<i>Allen Berry and Co. v. Vivian Bose, A.I.R. 1959 Punj. 86</i> ...	792
<i>Inquiry Against Bakshi Quashed, The Times of India, New Delhi, December 27, 1965</i> ... ..	794
 <b>CHAPTER X. SUPERVISION AND PROSECUTION</b>	
<b>SECTION 1. SUPERVISION</b>	798
<b>SECTION 2. PROSECUTION</b>	802
(a) <i>Statutes where only certain specified officer or officers are authorised to prosecute and no power is given to sanction prosecution by others</i>	805
The Imports and Exports (Control) Act, 1947 ... ..	805

(b) <i>Statutes where prosecution can only be instituted by or with the previous sanction of a designated officer or the regulatory agency</i>	805
The Factories Act, 1948 ... ..	805
(c) <i>Statutes which simply authorise prosecution by or under authority of the Government</i>	806
The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ... ..	806
(d) <i>Statutes which authorise private parties to institute prosecution</i>	806
The Companies Act, 1956 ... ..	806
(e) <i>Statutes which lay down that there can be no prosecution without sanction</i>	807
The Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961 ... ..	807
(f) <i>Statutes which lay down that there can be prosecution only on a complaint by a duly authorised person</i>	807
The Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957	807
The Atomic Energy Act, 1962 ... ..	807
(g) <i>Statutes where prosecution can be only at the instance of an authorised person</i>	808
The Income Tax Act, 1961 ... ..	808
<i>Gokulehand Dwarkadas v. The King</i> , A.I.R. 1948 P.C. 82 ... ..	808
<i>Ramdas v. K. M. Sen</i> , A.I.R. 1955 Cal. 517 ... ..	811
<i>Jiwan Das v. Rabin Sen</i> , A.I.R. 1956 Cal. 64 ... ..	814
<i>Ferozdin v. State of West Bengal</i> , A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 363 ... ..	815
<i>Chand Singh v. State</i> , A.I.R. 1954 Pep. 132 ... ..	816
<i>Gaya Din v. The State</i> , A.I.R. 1958 All. 39 ... ..	818
<i>Ramchand Tolaram v. The State</i> , A.I.R. 1956 Bom. 287 ... ..	820
<i>Shahab Uddin v. State of U. P.</i> , A.I.R. 1960 All. 373 ... ..	823
<i>State of Mysore v. R. D. Campbell</i> , 1962 (2) Cri. L. J. 703 ... ..	824
<i>Asuram v. The State</i> , 13 R.L.W. 111 (1961) ... ..	826
<i>Bhagwati Saran v. State of U.P.</i> , A.I.R. 1961 S.C. 928 ... ..	829