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Opium-Simuggled Opium, Possession of-Penulty-Reg. XXI.of 1827, Sec. 4.

Where several persons thowing'y hatour, keep, or conceal a parcel of suuggled opiun, oise penalty of double the value of sach opium, and of double the anount of iuty leviable apoi: it, oniy, is recoverable, under Reg. XXI. of 18:7, Soc 4.
Reg. v. Falatchand (1 Bom. H. C. Rep. 50) overruled.
,Reg. v. Rajgur Venemgur Sorris's Fouzdari hep. 673) approved.
THEaccused, two in nuniber, were tried and convieted by
O. H. Jolins, Megistrate F. P. in the Abmedábad district, of keeping smuged ofiam; and the forfeiture of double the value of the smuggled opium, and of doublo the amount of duty leviable upon it, was ordered to be divided roually between thern. The Magistrate of the District of Ahmed. abad, cons dering this decision contrary to the ruling of the High Court in Reg. v. Fuleatcliand (a), referred the case for the orders of the High Court.

The case came on for hearing on the 18th of Novembor 1×69, beiore Gines and Meniml, JJ, who referred it to a Full Eench, consisting of Cocch, C.J., Warden, Westropy, Gibrs, and Melfili, JJ.

In the absence of CCUCH, CJ, (b) bis jadgment was this day read ou this behaif by Wesrropp, C.J.

Couch, C.J.:-This is a conviction, unde: Reg. XXI of 3827. Sec. 4, of knowingly keepiag smarerled chiuii, by which two persons have been convicted of de ofience; and the forfeiture of coubu the vaine of the opium, and doublo the amount of duty leviable on it, has leen ecually divided betreen them; and, as this sis contrary to the decision of this Court in Reg. v. Falatciand et al. (supret), the case has been referred to us by the Divgistrate of Ahmedábád.

As there was in that case an unanimous ruling of six of the thess Jucgee of the High Court, Sir Joseph Arnould and

[^0]'s, Since the case wais heard, appointed Chici Jusice of Cakuita.
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