

FOOTNOTES

1. Hohfeld, W.N., Fundamental Legal Conceptions, Yale, 1917.
2. See the Doon Valley and the Ganga pollution cases:
R L & E v. State of U.P. 1988 SC 2187.
M.C.Mehta v. UOI, AIR 1986 SC 1115.
3. Karl Marx, 'On the Jewish Question', in Karl Marx: Selected Writings ed. David McLellan. Oxford.1977.p.54.
4. Halsbury's, Law of England, VII Edn.Vol.12, p.594.
For the Dharmasastri position see :Begram v. Khettranath (1869) 3 Bang.L.R.(O.C.J.) 18, 37.
5. See Race v. Ward (1855) 4E & B 702.
6. See Hedaya Hamilton Edn. 1869 p.132.
7. See Actor v. Bhundell (1843) 12 M&W 342.
8. See Joy Prakash Singh v. Aneer Ally (1868) 9 W.R.91.
9. See Ponnuswami v. Collector of Madura (1969) 5 M.H.C.R. at p.21.
10. See Narotam v. Ganpatray (1871) 8 Bom.H.C. (O.C.J.) 69.
11. See Secretary of State v. Sannidhiraju AIR 1932 Privy Council 46.
12. See Ram Sewak Kazi v. Ramgir Choudhary AIR 1954 Patna 320.
13. Hanuman Prasad v. Mendwa AIR 1935 Allahabad 876.
14. See Dawood Hshimi v. Tuck Shein, AIR 1931 Privy Council 72.
15. See Vipoalapati v. Raja of Vizionagarm, AIR 1937 Madras 310.
16. See Jaganath Chandrika, AIR 1919 Oudh 74.

17. See Labkanpara Tea Co. v. Gopalpur Tea Co. Ltd. 40 CWN 916.
18. See Setharamalingam v. Ananda Padayachi, AIR 1934 Madras 583.
19. See Maung Bya v. Maung Kevi Nyo AIR 1925 Privy Council 236.
20. See Debi Prasad v. Joynath, IIR 24 Cal. 865 (PC).
21. See Melepat Madhethil v. Neelamane, AIR 1938 Madras 649.
22. See Deshi Sugar Mills, v. Tupsi Kahar, AIR 1926 Pat. 506-7.
23. Empress v. Halodhan Pooroo IIR Cal. 383.
24. See Emperor v. Nana Ram 6 Bom. L.R. 52 (1904).
25. See Imperatix v. Neelappa Daryappa, Cr.R. 1898.
26. See Queen v. Vittichakkon IIR 4 Madras 229.
27. See Reg. v. Partha, Cr.R. 1869.
28. See Imperatix v. Hari Babu Cr.R. 1885.
29. See A.M.S.S.V.M.Co. v. State of Madras, AIR 1954 Madras 291.
30. See Susai v. Director of Fisheries, (1965) 11 M.L.J. 35.
31. See Pakkle v. P.Aiyasami, AIR (1969) Mad. 351.
32. See Govind Singh v. Shanti Sarup, (1977) 2 SCC 267; AIR 1979 SC 143.
33. See Rattlam Municipality v. Vardhichaand, AIR 1980 SC 1622.
34. For a detailed analysis of the impact analysis of the Water Act, see Chhatrapati Singh: "Legal Policy for the Control of Environmental Pollution" in Law and

Environment, University of Cochin Pub.1984.

35. See, for example, Report of the Committee on Panchayat Raj Institution (Chairman: Ashok Mehta) (Aug. 1978). Government of India.
36. Panchayats, Forestry and the Law, Chhatrapati Singh, Vijay Kumar, Report submitted to the National Wasteland Development Board. Ministry of Environment and Forests, by the Indian Law Institute, 1986.
37. See Secretary of State v. Subirayadin, AIR 1932 Privy Council 46.
38. See Secretary of State v. Negiswara, AIR 1936 Madras 923.
39. See State of Maharashtra v. Atnaram Sadashiv Dongwarwar and others, 1979 JLI 57.
40. See Harop v. Hirst, (1968) LR 4 Exch. 43.
41. See M.C.Mehta (The Ganga Pollution Case), AIR 1986 SC 1115.
42. See Doon Valley case, 198 SC 2187.
43. See for details: Chhatrapati Singh, 'Right to Life : Legal Activism or Legal Escapism' in Journal of the Indian Law Institute, Vol.28:2 :1986.
44. Chhatrapati Singh: 'The Ontology of Law' in Werner Leifellner ed. Language and Ontology Vienna Holder-Pitcher-Tempsky. 1982.

