

(India) Ltd., which are already on the record of the trial Court. The counsel for the plaintiff-Union of India is directed to furnish copies of the same to the counsel for the defendant-U.C.C. The documents filed are taken on record.

It is noticed that in the bunch of documents filed by the plaintiff-Union of India on 2.2.1988 there is a copy of the notification dated 19.11.1987 issued by the Central Government making certain amendments in the scheme framed under the Bhopal Act. In the said notification, there is a reference to the order of the Government of Madhya Pradesh No. 373/641/I-Four/Coordination/85 dated 7th May, 1985. In order to understand the said amendment properly, it is necessary to have a copy of said order on the record of this case. Accordingly, the counsel for the plaintiff-Union of India is directed to submit a copy of the said order after furnishing a copy of the same to the counsel for the defendant-U.C.C. immediately.

In the circumstances, this Court considers it proper to alter the date of the passing of the final order from 7th March to 17th March, 1988.

Dated : 27.2.1988

Sd-/
JUDGE

ORDER

Shri R.C. Agarwal appears for the non-applicant Union of India.

I.A. No. 1889/88 has been made by the non-applicant-Union of India for taking certain documents on record. The documents includes photostat copy of the Farman dated 25.4.1922 issued by the then Ruler of Bhopal State for the establishment of a High Court in the State, an official English translation of the said Farman and a photo copy of the Bhopal High Court Act, 1922.

Dated : 10.3.1988

Sd-/
JUDGE

ORDER

Shri Vijay Gupta, Sumeet Kachwaha and Smt. Neera Tiwari for the applicant-UCC.

The applicant has filed reply to I.A. No. 1889/88. It has also made submissions in respect to the documents filed by the non-applicant-Union of India.

The reply is taken on record.

Dated : 21.3.1988

Sd-/
JUDGE

ORDER

Shri R.C. Agarwal for the non-applicant-Union of India. He files a reply to the application dated 10.3.1988 made by the applicant-UCC.

The reply is taken on record.

It is stated in the application that the above said documents may throw light